Thakur6.

[Spl/MAT/F-5/E]

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 1164 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : - 4 APR 2016

M.A. No. 130/2016 IN O.A. No. 731/2015.
(Sub:-Seniority)

1 The Govt. of Maharashtra, Through the Addl. Chief Secretary, Home Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
2 The D.G. of Police, M.S., Mumbai.
3 M.P.S.C., Through its Secretary, Having office at Bank of India Bldg. Fort, Mumbai.

....APPLICANT/S. (Ori. Resp.)

VERSUS

1 Shri. Devdas P. Kathale & 142 Ors.,
C/o. Shri M.D. Lonkar, Advocate for the Applicants.

...RESPONDENT/S(Ori. Appli.)

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the **30th** day of **March, 2016** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for the Applicants (Ori. Resp.).
Shri M.D. Lonkar, Advocate for the Respondents.(Ori. Appli)

CORAM : **HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).**

DATE : **30.03.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed/Order Copy Over Leaf.

4/4/2016

Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.

(7)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

RA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

.....)

versus

State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

.....)

ram,

Tribunal's orders

M.A.130/2016 in O.A.731/2015

Heard Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).

This is an application seeking extension of time by two months for compliance with my order dated 22.12.2015 in the disposed of OA 731/2015.

I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Smt. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the original Respondents being the present Applicants and Mr. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the other side.

The main OA came to be disposed of with necessary directions and an outer time limit of three months was prescribed. That order was made broadly so speaking on the basis of the learned P.O's statement recorded in Para 4 thereof. Now, the extension of time for the reasons stated can be given, but at the same time, the interest of the original Applicants cannot be allowed to be compromised, and therefore, while extending the time for compliance by two months from today, the Applicants herein being the original Respondents to the OA are directed to make sure that during this period, nothing is done to adversely affect the interest of the original Applicants. The MA is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs. Hamdast.

sd/- 30.3.16

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
30.03.2016

(skw)

TRUE COPY

Asstt. Registrar / Research Officers
Administrative Tribunal
Mumbai

[P.T.O.